Activation of the Sonic Hedgehog pathway in thyroid neoplasms and its potential role in tumor cell proliferation
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Abstract

The sonic hedgehog (SHH) pathway is activated in several types of malignancy and plays an important role in tumor cell proliferation and tumorigenesis. SHH binding to a 12-pass transmembrane receptor, Patched (PTCH), leads to freeing of Smoothened (SMO) and subsequent activation of GLI transcription factors. In the present study, we analyzed the expression of SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 in 31 follicular thyroid adenomas (FTA), 8 anaplastic thyroid carcinomas (ATC), and 51 papillary thyroid carcinomas (PTC) by immunohistochemical staining. More than 65% of FTA, PTC, and ATC specimens stained positive for SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI. However, the expression of the genes encoding these four molecules did not correlate with any clinicopathologic parameters, including the age, gender, the status of BRAF gene mutation, tumor stage, local invasion, and metastasis. Three thyroid tumor cell lines (KAT-18, WRO82, and SW1736) all expressed the genes encoding these four molecules. 5-Bromo-2-deoxyuridine labeling and MTT cell proliferation assays revealed that cyclopamine (CP), an inhibitor of the SHH pathway, was able to inhibit the proliferation of KAT-18 and WRO82 cells more effectively than SW1736 cells. CP led to the arrest of cell cycle or apoptosis. Knockdown of SHH and GLI expression by miRNA constructs that target SHH or GLI mRNA in KAT-18 and SW1736 cells led to the inhibition of cell proliferation. Our results suggest that the SHH pathway is widely activated in thyroid neoplasms and may have potential as an early marker of thyroid cancer or as a potential therapeutic target for thyroid cancer treatment.
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Introduction

Smoothened (SMO) is a seven-pass transmembrane molecule whose signaling function is suppressed by pairing with a transporter-like protein Patched (PTCH; Taipale & Beachy 2001, Hooper & Scott 2005, van den Brink 2007). The engagement of PTCH with its ligand, Sonic hedgehog (SHH), leads to freeing of SMO and subsequently activation of a family of latent transcriptional factors, the Cubitus interruptus/GLI (GLI; Taipale & Beachy 2001, Hooper & Scott 2005, van den Brink 2007). Recent studies have shown that the SHH pathway plays an important role in tumorigenesis and cancer formation (Taipale & Beachy 2001, Hooper & Scott 2005, van den Brink 2007). Inactivating mutations of the PTCH gene were found to be responsible for the inherited cancer predisposition disorder Gorlin or the nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome (Xie et al. 1998). Ligand-dependent activation of the SHH pathway has been demonstrated in breast (Kubo et al. 2004), prostate (Karhadkar et al. 2004, Sanchez et al. 2004), esophageal, gastric, and pancreatic carcinoma (Berman et al. 2003, Thayer et al. 2003).
Blockade of the SHH pathway by cyclopamine (CP), an antagonist that binds SMO, can lead to the inhibition of cell proliferation (Berman et al. 2003, Thayer et al. 2003) and growth of several types of tumors such as pancreatic cancer implanted as xenografts in nude mice (Berman et al. 2003, Thayer et al. 2003). A recent clinical trial revealed that GDC-0449, an orally active small molecule that targets the hedgehog pathway, appears to have antitumor activity in locally advanced or metastatic basal cell carcinoma (Von Hoff et al. 2009).

Tumors derived from thyroid epithelial cells display diverse neoplastic phenotypes, including benign follicular adenomas, well-differentiated papillary and follicular carcinomas, and aggressive anaplastic carcinomas (Kondo et al. 2006). Molecular genetic studies have demonstrated that numerous oncogenes such as RAS, BRAF, and RET/papillary thyroid carcinomas (PTC) are frequently mutated or rearranged in thyroid cancer (Kondo et al. 2006). Inhibitors of these mutated gene products are currently being developed as novel anticancer drugs for thyroid cancer treatment (Cohen et al. 2008, Gupta-Abramson et al. 2008). The discovery of other dysregulated pathways critical for thyroid tumor development may offer new therapeutic targets for thyroid cancer treatment. In this study, we report that the SHH pathway is activated in thyroid neoplasms and can contribute to increased cell proliferation.

Materials and methods
Tumor specimens and patient information
Paraffin-embedded tumor blocks from patients with thyroid neoplasms were retrieved from the Department of Pathology after approval by the Institutional Review Board of Rush University Medical Center. A total of 90 specimens, all with adequate clinical and pathological information, were studied. These include 31 follicular adenomas, 51 papillary carcinomas, and 8 anaplastic carcinomas. Nine fresh tissue specimens, including seven PTC, one normal thyroid, and a Hurthle cell adenoma from a single patient, were used for analysis of SHH expression by western blot analysis. These fresh tumor samples were not overlapping with 90 specimens used in immunohistochemical staining.

Immunohistochemical staining of SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 in thyroid tumor tissues
Tissue sections were de-waxed with xylene and rehydrated. Slides were treated in 1% H₂O₂ in methanol for 30 min at room temperature followed by antigen retrieval in 6 M urea in a microwave for 30 min. Cooled slides were rinsed with PBS. Sections were blocked with 5% normal goat serum in PBS for 30 min at room temperature followed by 1 h incubation with antibodies against SHH (EP1190Y; Novus Biologicals, Inc., Littleton, CO, USA), PTCH (H0267, sc-9016; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), SMO (H-300, sc-13943; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), and GLI1 (H-300, sc-20687; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) in PBS. After washing three times in PBS, the sections were incubated with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG followed by streptavidin-conjugated HRP. Color development was done with diaminobenzidine substrate (Sigma). Slides were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin for 2 min, dehydrated, and mounted. Two investigators (X X and P G) graded SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 expression in a blinded fashion. SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 were graded as negative (−), no signal at all; positive (+), with signal in >20% of tumor cells; strongly positive (++), with strong signal in more than 50% of tumor cells; and very strongly positive (+++), with very strong signal in >80% of tumor cells.

Cell lines
Three thyroid tumor cell lines were used in this study, including WRO82, an FTC cell line (provided by Dr Guy J F Juillard at University of California at Los Angeles), and two anaplastic thyroid carcinomas (ATC) cell lines, KAT-18 and SW1736 (provided by Dr Kenneth B Ain, University of Kentucky Medical Center, Lexington, KY, USA; Ain et al. 1997). The status of BRAF gene mutations in these three cell lines used in our study (Xu et al. 2003) is consistent with two previous publications (Kimura et al. 2003, Namba et al. 2003). Of note, WRO82 cells is a follicular thyroid carcinoma cell line that does not have BRAF mutation (Kimura et al. 2003, Namba et al. 2003, Xu et al. 2003). To validate the identity of these three thyroid tumor cell lines used in our study, short tandem repeats (STR) were commercially profiled by Genetica DNA Laboratories, Inc. (Cincinnati, OH, USA). We found that the STR profiles of SW1736 (passage 5) and KAT-18 (passage 22) were identical as previously reported (Schweppe et al. 2008). However, WRO82 cells (passage 70) had a unique STR profile (D2S1358, 23; vWA, 16; FGA, 22.2 and 23; Amelogenix, X; D8S1179, 11 and 16; D21S11, 29; D18S51, 13; D5S818, 12; D13S317, 9; and D7S820, 8 and 12). Schweppe et al. (2008) stated that a different clone of WRO82 cells was used in their study; that clone harbors a V600E BRAF gene mutation and does not express TTF-1. MPANC-96 cells (a pancreatic cancer
cell line) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). All tumor cell lines were grown in complete RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum.

**RT-PCR**

Total cellular RNA was isolated from cell lines with TRIzol (Life Technologies, Inc.) and quantified by u.v. absorption. After reverse transcription of 500 ng total RNA with oligo(dT) priming, the resulting single-stranded cDNA was amplified using TaqDNA polymerase (Life Technologies, Inc.). Of note, the forward and reverse primers for each gene were located in different exons, thus excluding the possibility to amplify a PCR product from contaminated genomic DNA. The PCR was set with an initial denaturation of 2 min at 94 °C and subsequent 30 cycles of denaturation for 45 s at 94 °C, annealing for 45 s at 55 °C, and extension for 1 min at 72 °C.

**SHH and GLI1 knockdown**

The SHH and GLI1 hairpin DNA oligonucleotides were synthesized and cloned into a microRNA expression vector, pcDNA6.2/GW-miR, according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Invitrogen). The same expression vector encoding an miRNA sequence targeting β-galactosidase was included as a negative control. Plasmid DNA was purified by using the Qiagen Mini-Preparation kit (Qiagen). The sequences of the inserted oligonucleotides were verified by DNA sequencing. SW1736 and KAT-18 cells seeded in a six well plate were transiently transfected with FuGENE6 (Roche Diagnostic GmbH). After incubation for 48 h, the cells were trypsinized and replated in a 96 well plate for cell proliferation assay at 96 h after transfection. Alternately, transfected cells were replated into a 60 mm dish with coverslips. After overnight incubation, the cells were pulsed for 6 h with 10 μM 5-bromo-2-deoxyuridine (BrdU). The coverslips were collected and fixed in methanol for 10 min at 4 °C and used for analysis of SHH and GLI1 expression or BrdU labeling.

**Western blot**

Nine fresh tissues, including seven PTC, a Hurthle adenoma, and its matched normal thyroid, 50 mg/sample each, were homogenized in 500 μl NP-40 lysis buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 5 mM EDTA, 10 μg/ml aprotinin, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride). Thyroid tumor cell lines grown in six well plates were harvested and lysed in NP-40 lysis buffer. After incubation on ice for 30 min, the cell lysates were prepared by spinning down at 4 °C, 16 100 g for 15 min. For detection of GLI1, TTF-1, and TTF-2, total cell lysates were prepared by directly lysing tissue or cells in 2× sample buffer. Cell lysates were extracted by passing through an insert of QIAshredder (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA, USA). After electrophoresis and transfer onto Immobilon membrane, SHH, TTF-1, TTF-2, GLI1, and actin were detected by their specific antibodies, followed by HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG and SuperSignal Western Pico ECL substrate (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL, USA). A rabbit monoclonal anti-SHH antibody (EP1190Y) was purchased from Novus Biologicals, Inc. Antibodies against β-actin, TTF-1, and TTF-2 were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. Rabbit anti-GLI1 antibody was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danver, MA, USA) or from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA).

**Immunofluorescence staining**

Tumor cell lines grown on coverslips were washed three times with cold PBS and fixed with cold methanol at 4 °C for 10 min. Coverslips were blocked with 5% normal goat serum for 30 min at room temperature. The molecules of the SHH pathways were detected by the same specific antibodies used in immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining followed by fluorescein-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG. For BrdU staining, the cells grown on coverslips were first pulsed with 10 μM BrdU for 6 h. The cells were harvested, fixed with ethanol, denatured with 2 M HCl for 5 min at room temperature followed by neutralization with 0.1 M borate buffer (pH 8.5). After rinsing and blocking with normal mouse serum, the coverslips were stained with an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-BrdU monoclonal antibody (BD Bioscience, Franklyn Lakes, NJ, USA). The coverslips were mounted with 50% glycerin in PBS containing antifade reagent 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (25 mg/ml) and 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (0.5 mg/ml; Sigma Chemical Co.). Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated mouse IgG was included as a control. SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 expression and BrdU incorporation were examined under an Olympus BX41TF fluorescence microscope connected to a digital camera.

**MTT assay**

Thyroid tumor cell lines were grown in complete RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. The cells were seeded in 96 well plates at the density of 2000/well in the presence of DMSO (0.2%), 3 or 9 μM CP (Toronto Research Chemicals, Inc., North York, ON, Canada) or 5 or 25 μM HhAntag.
dissolved in DMSO. MPANC-96 cells were used as a positive control. After incubation for 96 h, cell proliferation was monitored by using a CellTiter 96 nonradioactive cell proliferation assay kit (MTT; Promega) following the manufacturer’s instruction. SW1736 cells transfected with miRNA constructs were replated onto a 96 well plate and similarly analyzed for cell proliferation at 96 h after transfection.

DNA replication and cell cycle analysis

SW1736, KAT-18, and WRO82 cells were grown in complete RPMI-1640 medium with 10% fetal bovine serum in 60 mm dishes. Upon 40% confluence, the cells were treated with vehicle or with CP (9 μM) for 48 h. Cells were pulsed with 10 μM BrdU for 3 h. Cells were harvested, denatured with 2 M HCl for 5 min at room temperature followed by neutralization with 0.1 M borate buffer (pH 8.5). After washing and blocking with normal mouse serum, the cells were stained with an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-BrdU monoclonal antibody (BD Bioscience), followed by analysis in a flow cytometer. Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated mouse IgG was included as a control. For cell cycle analysis, the cells were harvested and fixed with 2 ml cold 70% ethanol in PBS overnight at 4°C. Fixed cells were then washed three times with PBS, treated with RNaseA (100 μg/ml in 0.5 ml PBS). After incubation at room temperature for 30 min, cells were stained with 2.5 μl propidium iodine (10 mg/ml) and immediately analyzed for DNA content in a flow cytometer.

Statistical analyses

χ² or Fisher’s exact test was used to determine whether there were significant differences in the expression of SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 among follicular thyroid adenomas (FTA), PTC, and ATC (Table 1); and whether there were significant differences in SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 expression among patients of different age and gender; and whether SHH, PTCH, and SMO expression correlated with tumor pathologic stage and the status of BRAF mutation (Table 2). Unpaired Student’s t-test was used to determine if there was a significant difference in the cell proliferation. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistics were performed with SigmaStat 3 software (Richmond, CA, USA).

Results

Detection of SHH pathway components in thyroid tumors

We conducted IHC staining to analyze the expression of four molecules in the SHH pathway, including SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 in thyroid neoplasms. As shown in Fig. 1A, SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 were not present in normal thyroid follicular epithelial cells but were strongly expressed in an FTA, a PTC, and an ATC specimen. SHH, PTCH, and SMO signals were largely present in cytoplasm; whereas GLI1 signals appeared to be in both cytoplasm and nuclei. The section of a pancreatic cancer used as a positive control stained for GLI1 expression, whose signals were largely in nuclei, was shown in Fig. 1B, e. Normal rabbit IgG served as a negative control (Fig. 1B, f). Normal thyroid follicles in the vicinity of SHH-, SMO-, PTCH-, and GLI1-positive tumor cells were negative (Fig. 1B, a, b, c and d). Among 22 PTC and 15 FTA tumor sections with clearly identifiable normal thyroid follicular epithelial cells, SHH, SMO, PTCH, and GLI1 were stained negative or with only very minimal signals. Examples of SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 expression in PTC graded as negative (−), positive (+), strongly positive (++), and very strongly positive (+++) are given in Fig. 1C. Western blot analysis revealed that SHH expression was detected in five of seven PTC as a 47 kDa unprocessed SHH and a processed 19 kDa protein in six of seven PTC (Fig. 1D). SHH was expressed at very low level in a normal thyroid tissue but was highly expressed in a matched Hurthle cell adenoma. GLI1 was detected as a 150 kDa protein in four of seven PTC (#1, #2, #4, #5) and a Hurthle cell adenoma. Anti-PTCH and anti-SMO antibodies used in IHC were unable to recognize denatured PTCH and SMO was transferred onto PVDF membrane in western blot, either due to low affinity or low abundance of proteins in the tissue lysates.

Table 1 SHH, PTCH, and SMO expression in thyroid tumors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SHH</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>PTCH</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>SMO</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>GLI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATC</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SHH expression

Among 31 FTA specimens, SHH expression was graded as negative (−) in seven, positive (+) and strongly positive (+++) in five each, and very strongly positive (++++) in fourteen (Table 1). Among 51 PTC specimens, SHH expression was graded as negative (−) in 12, positive (+) in 14, strongly positive (+++) in 15, and very strongly positive (++++) in 10 (Table 1). Among eight ATC specimens, SHH expression was graded as negative (−) in one, positive (+) in three, and very strongly positive (++++) in four (Table 1).

PTCH expression

Among 31 FTA specimens, PTCH expression was graded as negative (−) in 7, positive (+) in 8, strongly positive (+++) in 9, and very strongly positive (++++) in 7 (Table 1). Among 51 PTC specimens, PTCH expression was graded as negative (−) in 18, positive (+) in 9, strongly positive (+++) in 9, and very strongly positive (++++) in 15 (Table 1). Among eight ATC specimens, PTCH expression was graded as negative (−) in one, strongly positive (+++) in two, and very strongly positive (++++) in five (Table 1).

SMO expression

Among 31 FTA specimens, SMO expression was graded as negative (−) in 7, positive (+) in 5, strongly positive (+++) in 6, and very strongly positive (++++) in 3 (Table 1). Among 51 PTC specimens, SMO expression was graded as negative (−) in 17, positive (+) in 11, strongly positive (+++) in 9, and very strongly positive (++++) in 14 (Table 1). Among eight ATC specimens, SMO expression was graded as negative (−) in one, positive (+) in two, strongly positive (+++) in two, and very strongly positive (++++) in five (Table 1).

GLI1 expression

Among 31 FTA specimens, GLI expression was graded as negative (−) in 10, positive (+) in 7, strongly positive (+++) in 7, and very strongly positive (++++) in 7 (Table 1). Among 51 PTC specimens, GLI expression was graded as negative (−) in 9, positive (+) in 17, strongly positive (+++) in 11, and very strongly positive (++++) in 11 (Table 1). Among eight ATC specimens, GLI expression was graded as negative (−) in one, strongly positive (+) in two, and very strongly positive (+++) in five (Table 1).
Concomitant upregulation of the SHH pathway molecules and its relationship to clinicopathologic parameters

It has been well established that activation of the SHH pathway leads to an autocrine upregulation of SHH, PTCH, and GLI1 (Ruiz i Altaba et al. 2002). We found that the accordance rate between SHH and PTCH expression and between SHH and SMO expression was 77% and 79% respectively, the accordance rate between PTCH and SMO expression was 86%. There was an overall accordance rate of 77% between GLI1 expression and SHH, PTCH, or SMO expression among 31 FTC; an overall accordance rate of 78, 71, and 71% between GLI1 expression and SHH, PTCH, or SMO expression respectively among 51 FTC, and an overall accordance rate of 75, 75, and 100% between GLI1 expression and SHH, PTCH, or SMO expression respectively among eight ATC. We did not find that the expression of SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 in FTC correlated with any clinicopathologic parameters, including patient’s age, gender, tumor stage, lymph node invasion, and BRAF gene mutation ($P > 0.05$; Table 2). The frequency of SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 positivity was not significantly different between FTA and FTC ($P > 0.05$; Table 1).

Expression of the SHH pathway components in thyroid tumor cell lines

We next tested whether the genes of the SHH pathway were expressed in three thyroid tumor cell lines. RT-PCR revealed the presence of $SHH$, $PTCH$, $SMO$, and $GLI1$ mRNA in WRO82, SW1736, and KAT-18 cells (Fig. 2A). Western blot analysis revealed that SHH was detected with a molecular mass of $\sim 47$ kDa.
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\[ \text{Inhibition of cell proliferation by SHH and GLI1}\]
\[ \text{gene knockdown}\]

The concentrations of CP and HhAntag required to

inhibit the SHH-induced promoter activation is
generally much lower (at nanomolar range) than that required to inhibit cell proliferation (at micromolar range) (Romer et al. 2004, Mas & Ruiz i Altaba 2010). Several studies have challenged whether CP used at micromolar concentration may have inhibited cell proliferation by its off-target effect (Yauch et al. 2008, Zhang et al. 2009). To further test whether the SHH pathway and GLI1 were involved in thyroid tumor cell proliferation, we used a genetic approach to determine if SHH and GLI1 knockdown will lead to the inhibition of cell proliferation on two tumor cell lines, SW1736 and KAT-18. Since GLI1 can be activated by Ras in an SHH-independent manner (Ji et al. 2007, Nolan-Stevaux et al. 2009), the effect of GLI1 knockdown on SW1736 cell proliferation should reveal if GLI1 contributes to BRAF-mutated cell proliferation independent of the SHH pathway. SW1736 and KAT-18 cells were transiently transfected with two constructs of SHH- and GLI-miRNA each. SHH and GLI1 expression was monitored by IF staining. As shown in Fig. 4A, the signals of SHH expression were significantly reduced in SW1736 cells transfected with two SHH-miRNA constructs (SHH-miRNA 658 and 1201), compared to the cells transfected with control miRNA or two GLI1-miRNA constructs; whereas nuclear staining of GLI1 protein was significantly reduced in two GLI1-miRNA constructs (GLI-miRNA 760 and 1519)-transfected SW1736 cells. Suppression of SHH and GLI1 expression in miRNA-transfected SW1736 cells was also confirmed by western blot analysis (Fig. 4B). SW1736 cells transfected with two SHH-miRNA constructs (#658 and #1201) led to the inhibition of SHH expression, whereas SW1736 cells transfected with two GLI1-miRNA constructs (#760 and #1519) led to decreased GLI1 expression. To determine if suppression of SHH and GLI1 expression led to the inhibition of cell proliferation, we first conducted BrdU-labeling experiments and found that after a 6-h pulse, BrdU incorporation was detected in almost 100% of the nuclei of control miRNA-transfected SW1736 cells (Fig. 4A, right column). In contrast, the number of BrdU-positive cells was significantly reduced in SHH-miRNA- and GLI1-miRNA-transfected cells (Fig. 4A). To further confirm the inhibitory effect of SHH and GLI1 knockdown on cell proliferation, we analyzed the proliferation of SW1736 cells by counting the cell number at 72 h after transient transfection. As shown in Fig. 4C, cell numbers in SW1736 cells transfected with two SHH-miRNA constructs were about 45 and 42% of the cells transfected with control miRNA (Fig. 4C). Cell numbers in SW1736 cells transfected with two GLI1-miRNA constructs were about 58 and 46% of the cells transfected with control miRNA (Fig. 4C). MTT assay revealed that suppression of SHH or GLI1 expression led to a significant inhibition of SW1736 proliferation (Fig. 4D). Cell proliferation was reduced in cells transfected with SHH- and GLI-miRNA by ~40 and 30% respectively. KAT-18 cells transiently transfected with two miRNA constructs that target SHH or GLI1 also led to a significant inhibition of cell proliferation (data not shown).

Discussion

In the present study, we examined the expression of four components of the SHH pathway, SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 in thyroid neoplasms. We found that these molecules were widely expressed not only in PTC and ATC but also in benign FTA. More than 65% of thyroid tumor samples were positive for these four molecules (Table 1). Additionally, we found that two thyroid papillary microcarcinomas expressed SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 (data not shown). These observations suggest that the SHH pathway is activated in a very early stage of thyroid tumor development. This notion is in line with several other studies showing increased expression of the members of the SHH pathway in endometrial hyperplasia (Feng et al. 2007), gastric adenomas (Lee et al. 2007), ovarian (Chen et al. 2007), and pancreatic cystadenomas (Thayer et al. 2003, Liu et al. 2007, Morton et al. 2007). Since there is no evidence available indicating that germ-line mutations of the PTCH gene in the nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome lead to an increased incidence of thyroid neoplasms, it appears that activation of the SHH pathway does not play a causal role in thyroid tumor development but may collaborate with other oncogenes to promote thyroid tumorigenesis.

A prior study from this lab showed that the frequency of SHH but not SMO and PTCH expression in a small group of 13 patients is higher in synchronous follicular adenomas than PTC (Nelson et al. 2010). Our current clinicopathological study showed that the levels of SHH, PTCH, SMO, and GLI1 expression were not significantly different among benign thyroid follicular adenomas, well-differentiated PTC, and poorly differentiated ATC, and among PTC at different tumor stages. In addition, we did not find any correlation between the status of the expression of the SHH pathway molecules and the invasive or metastatic potential of PTC. The activation of the SHH pathway may not be involved in thyroid tumor progression. These observations are consistent with previous studies showing that the expression of the molecules in the SHH pathway is not associated with the pathologic and histologic stage
of breast (Kubo et al. 2004), ovarian (Feng et al. 2007), and prostate cancers (Sanchez et al. 2004).

The mechanisms of SHH pathway gene overexpression in a variety of malignancies are incompletely understood. Increased SHH expression in gastric cancer and its related lesions correlates with the altered SHH promoter methylation (Wang et al. 2006). Ma et al. (2006) reported that the SHH gene is amplified in esophageal cancer. Additional studies have shown that activation of several oncogene-mediated signaling pathways is involved in increased expression of SHH pathway molecules. For example, Ji et al. (2007) reported that RAS or BRAF gene mutation in pancreatic cancer cell lines leads to increased GLI1 transcriptional activity due to increased stability of GLI1 protein. MAP kinase activation due to BRAF or RAS gene mutation, RET/PTC rearrangements, or other genetic alterations in thyroid neoplasms is widespread. It remains unclear if the activation of the MAP kinase pathway in thyroid cancer may also contribute to increased GLI1 expression and its transcriptional activity, subsequently leading to increased expression of SHH pathway molecules. In addition, NF-κB activation in thyroid neoplasms may also contribute to increased expression of SHH pathway molecules since several NF-κB binding sites have been identified in the promoter of the SHH gene (Kasperczyk et al. 2009). Moreover, a recent study demonstrated that SHH expression can be regulated by p63 (Caserta et al. 2006), a homologue of p53 that plays an important role in tumorigenesis and in maintenance and development of the epithelial stem cells. Interestingly, several prior studies have shown that p63 is overexpressed in thyroid cancer (Preto et al. 2002, Reis-Filho et al. 2003, Unger et al. 2003, Burstein et al. 2004). Taken together, overexpression of SHH pathway molecules may result from epigenetic changes of SHH pathway molecules and/or depends on the activation of other oncogene signaling pathways or aberrant activation of some transcription factors such as NF-κB or p63.

The expression of some genes involved in the SHH pathway can be regulated in an autocrine manner. For example, PTCH gene mutation leads to upregulation of SHH gene expression (Unden et al. 1997). In an attempt to determine if overexpression of the SHH pathway molecules resulted from PTCH mutations, we analyzed 12 BRAF-negative PTC specimens for PTCH gene mutation in exons 13, 14, and 15 by directly sequencing the PCR-amplified fragments. Except for a polymorphism at nucleotide 2173 C/T (serine→proline) in one PTC specimen, no mutation was found in the hotspots of the PTCH gene that is frequently mutated in other types of tumors (X Xu, unpublished

---

**Figure 3** Inhibition of cell proliferation by CP. (A) MTT assay. Three thyroid tumor cell lines and MPANC-96 (2000 cells/well), a pancreatic cancer cell line included as a positive control, were seeded in 96 well plates. Cells were treated with vehicle control or CP at 3 or 9 μM for 96 h. Cell proliferation was quantified by using an MTT cell proliferation kit. The data were presented as mean±s.d. from one of three experiments with similar results. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; (B) BrdU labeling. Cells grown in 60 mm dishes were treated with DMSO (0.2%) or CP (9 μM) for 48 h. After pulsing with BrdU (10 μM) for 3 h, the cells were harvested and analyzed for BrdU incorporation by staining with an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-BrdU monoclonal antibody followed by flow cytometry (red line). An Alexa Fluor488-conjugated mouse IgG antibody was included as a negative control (blue line). (C) Inhibition of cell cycle progression by CP. Cells were similarly treated as in (B) except without pulsing with BrdU. Single cell suspensions were prepared and fixed with 2 ml cold 70% ethanol in PBS overnight at 4 °C. Cells were then treated with RNase A followed by labeling with propidium iodine. Cell cycle was analyzed in a flow cytometer.
data). SMO mutation in other tumor types is a rare event, and no hotspot mutation has been identified. Though we have not analyzed SMO mutations in thyroid neoplasms, it is less likely that widespread expression of the molecules of the SHH pathway results from SMO gene mutations.

Activation of the SHH pathway can stimulate cell proliferation through the activation of GLI1 (Taipale & Beachy 2001, Hooper & Scott 2005). Its activation leads to increased transcription of several genes involved in DNA replication and cell cycle progression (Taipale & Beachy 2001, Hooper & Scott 2005). For example, Duman-Scheel et al. (2002) reported that GLI1 activation leads to increased expression of FOXM1, a transcription factor involved in regulating cell growth, proliferation, longevity, and transformation. In addition, activation of the SHH pathway may suppress apoptosis by inducing the expression of antiapoptotic Bel-2 (Bigelow et al. 2004). CP at concentrations ranging from 2 to 20 μM is able to inhibit the proliferation of many tumor cell lines by arresting cell cycle progression through the suppression of cyclin D1 and the induction of cyclin inhibitors p21 and p27 (Taipale & Beachy 2001, Sanchez et al. 2005). Our present study demonstrated that CP was capable of inhibiting cell cycle progression, DNA replication, and cell proliferation in KAT-18 and WRO82 cells. Additionally, our

Figure 4 Inhibition of cell proliferation and cell cycle progression by SHH and GLI1 knockdown. (A) IF analysis of SHH and GLI1 expression and BrdU incorporation. SW1736 cells grown in six well plates were transiently transfected with a pcDNA6.2 control, two pcDNA/SHH-miRNA constructs that target SHH mRNA at 658 and 1201 nucleotides respectively, or two pcDNA/GLI1-miRNA plasmid DNA that target GLI1 mRNA at 760 and 1519 nucleotides respectively. After incubation for 48 h, cells were trypsinized and seeded on coverslips. SHH and GLI1 expression was analyzed by IF staining with their specific antibodies as described in Fig. 2C. BrdU incorporation was analyzed by IF staining with an Alexa Fluor 488-labeled anti-BrdU mAb. The staining was visualized under a fluorescence microscope. (B) Western blot analysis of SHH and GLI1. SW1736 cells transiently transfected with control miRNA or two miRNA constructs targeting SHH or GLI1 were harvested and analyzed for SHH and GLI1 expression by western blot analysis as described in Fig. 2B. (C and D) Inhibition of cell proliferation by SHH and GLI1 knockdown. SW1736 cells transfected with control miRNA, SHH- or GLI-miRNA were analyzed for cell proliferation by counting the cell numbers at 72 h posttransfection (C) or by MTT assay in a 96 well plate (D). One representative of three experiments with similar results was shown. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
preliminary study suggests that CP may inhibit KAT-18 cell proliferation in part by inducing apoptosis. SW1736 cells, a BRAF-mutated anaplastic thyroid tumor cell line, were less sensitive to CP- or HhAntag-mediated cell proliferation. In contrast, we found that SHH and GLI1 knockdown led to a significant inhibition of cell proliferation of SW1736 cells. We speculate that the mild cytotoxicity of transfection agent may have enhanced the inhibitory effect of SHH and GLI1 knockdown on the proliferation of SW1736 cells. Nevertheless, we found that SHH and GLI1 knockdown in KAT-18 cells also led to the inhibition of cell proliferation.

The inhibitors of the Hh pathway are currently being tested and developed as novel therapeutic agents for many malignancies with SHH pathway activation. Demonstration of the widespread expression of the molecules of the SHH pathway in thyroid neoplasms and activation of the SHH pathway in thyroid tumor cell lines suggests that the SHH pathway could serve as an early transformation marker as well as a potential cell lines suggests that the SHH pathway could serve as an early transformation marker as well as a potential cell proliferation.

The inhibitors of the Hh pathway are currently being tested and developed as novel therapeutic agents for many malignancies with SHH pathway activation. Demonstration of the widespread expression of the molecules of the SHH pathway in thyroid neoplasms and activation of the SHH pathway in thyroid tumor cell lines suggests that the SHH pathway could serve as an early transformation marker as well as a potential molecular target for treatment of thyroid cancers, at least for some if not all.
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