Identification of tyrosine 806 as a molecular determinant of RET kinase sensitivity to ZD6474
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Abstract

ZD6474 (vandetanib, Zactima, Astra Zeneca) is an anilinoquinazoline used to target the receptor tyrosine kinase RET in familial and sporadic thyroid carcinoma (IC50: 100 nM). The aim of this study was to identify molecular determinants of RET sensitivity to ZD6474. Here, we show that mutation of RET tyrosine 806 to cysteine (Y806C) induced RET kinase resistance to ZD6474 (IC50: 933 nM). Y806 maps close to the gate-keeper position at the RET kinase nucleotide-binding pocket. Although tyrosine 806 is a RET auto-phosphorylation site, its substitution to phenylalanine (Y806F) did not markedly affect RET susceptibility to ZD6474 (IC50: 87 nM), suggesting that phosphorylation of Y806 is not required for compound binding. Accordingly, the introduction of a phosphomimetic residue (Y806E) also caused resistance to ZD6474, albeit of a lesser degree (IC50: 512 nM) than the cysteine mutation. Y806C/E RET mutants were also resistant to ZD6474 with respect to intracellular signalling and activation of an AP1-responsive promoter. We conclude that Y806 is a molecular determinant of RET sensitivity to ZD6474. Y806C is a natural RET mutation identified in a patient affected by multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B. Based on its rare occurrence, it is unlikely that Y806C will be a frequent cause of refractoriness to ZD6474; however, it may be envisaged that mutations at this site can mediate secondary resistance formation in patients treated with the compound.
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Introduction

Small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) are effective in a variety of human cancers driven by the activation of specific tyrosine kinases (Krause & Van Etten 2005, Baselga 2006). A paradigmatic example is imatinib mesylate (Gleevec, STI571), an ATP-competitive inhibitor of BCR-ABL, KIT and PDGFR kinases. Imatinib is used for the treatment of chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML) patients harbouring the BCR-ABL translocation and of gastrointestinal stromal tumour patients harbouring KIT or PDGFRz mutations (Sherbenou & Druker 2007).

Although most CML cases initially respond to imatinib, relapses are frequent, particularly in patients with advanced disease. Point mutations within the kinase domain of BCR-ABL are the most frequent causes of resistance. Such mutations can either remove residues that are critical for drug–kinase interaction, or create steric hindrance or display an allosteric effect, preventing the kinase from adopting the correct conformation for drug binding (Daub et al. 2004, Nardi et al. 2004, Weisberg et al. 2007). The best described resistance-associated mutation affects T315, the so-called ‘gate-keeper’ site. T315 is located at the base of the ATP-binding pocket, where also the drug binds. Amino acid changes at the gate-keeper site are able to confer resistance not only to imatinib but also to other BCR-ABL inhibitors, such as dasatinib and nilotinib (Kantarjian et al. 2006, Talpaz et al. 2006). Moreover, mutation of the corresponding residue has
been linked to the resistance of other tyrosine kinases to their specific TKI (Pao et al. 2005).

How resistance to kinase inhibitors develops in patients is being understood. The short lag of time in which resistance develops in CML patients has suggested that resistance-causing mutations are present before treatment in a few tumour cells, in cis with the oncogenic alteration, and are maintained in the tumour cell population because they increase the relative fitness of the mutated cell clones. Tumour cells harbouring the resistance-causing mutation, in turn, could be positively selected upon treatment with imatinib (secondary resistance; Sherbenou & Druker 2007). In other cases, the initial oncogenic mutation per se is refractory to the drug (primary resistance). For instance, tumour-associated KIT and PDGFRα variants displaying gain-of-function mutations in the kinase activation loop (D816 in KIT and D842 in PDGFRα) are refractory to imatinib, and patients harbouring these mutations respond poorly to imatinib (Corless et al. 2005). Similarly, a germline EGFR mutation (T790M) found in rare families predisposed to lung cancer not only activates the oncogenic potential of EGFR but also causes resistance to the EGFR TKIs gefitinib and erlotinib (Bell et al. 2005). An understanding of the molecular basis of drug sensitivity and resistance is required to interpret results of clinical trials with TKIs, to select patients for treatment and to design strategies aimed at circumventing resistance formation (Kantarjian et al. 2006, O’Hare et al. 2006, Talpaz et al. 2006, Shah et al. 2007).

RET receptor tyrosine kinase is frequently activated in thyroid tumours (Santoro & Carlomagno 2006). Germline point mutations affecting the extracellular or kinase domains of RET are associated to the autosomal dominant multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 (MEN 2) syndromes (MEN 2A, MEN 2B, familial medullary thyroid cancer), characterized by the occurrence of medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC), pheochromocytoma, parathyroid adenoma, and ganglioneuromatosis of the gut. Somatic RET point mutations are found in 30–40% of sporadic MTC cases (Marx 2005, Schlumberger et al. 2008). Most of the MEN 2/MTC-associated RET mutations either target extracellular cysteine residues (typically in MEN 2A), or the methionine 918 (typically in MEN 2B) or few other residues (E768, L790, Y791, V804, S891) in the RET kinase domain. Some patients harbour more rare mutations in other codons or small insertions/deletions (Santoro & Carlomagno 2006). Moreover, chromosomal rearrangements involving the RET kinase encoding domain (chimeric RET/papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) oncogenes) are found in (PTC; Santoro & Carlomagno 2006). Both MEN 2/MTC-associated point mutations and RET/PTC rearrangements switch on the enzymatic function of RET in a ligand-independent manner (Santoro & Carlomagno 2006). Whereas adjuvant radiometabolic therapy with 131I is effective after surgery for PTC, MTC does not respond to conventional radiotherapy or chemotherapy, and early surgery remains the only treatment for this tumour (Schlumberger et al. 2008).

ZD6474 (Zactima, vandetanib) is an orally bioavailable anilinoquinazoline with strong inhibiting activity against VEGFR-2 (IC50 = 40 nM) and EGFR (IC50 = 500 nM) kinase function (Ryan & Wedge 2005). ZD6474 is also a potent inhibitor of the RET kinase (IC50 = 100 nM; Carlomagno et al. 2002). The compound could arrest the growth of human thyroid cancer cell lines spontaneously harbouring RET oncogenes and was effective against a Drosophila model of PTC and MTC (Vidal et al. 2005). The X-ray structure of ZD6474-RET(TK) complex shows that the compound docks into the ATP-binding pocket of the RET kinase (Knowles et al. 2006). In a phase II trial of hereditary MTC patients who had a germline RET mutation, ZD6474 treatment was associated with objective tumour responses, with evidence of prolonged disease stabilization and clinical benefit in up to half the patients (Wells et al. 2006). Multicentric placebo-controlled phase II trials are in progress with this drug in thyroid cancer patients (Schlumberger et al. 2008).

Preclinical in vitro studies have demonstrated that ZD6474 inhibited the wild-type enzyme and several activated mutant forms of RET, with the notable exception of RET proteins carrying mutations in residue V804 (V804L and V804M). In fact, the IC50 for RET kinase inhibition increased by about 50-fold in the presence of V804L and V804M mutations (Carlomagno et al. 2004). V804 in RET corresponds to the ‘gate-keeper’ (T315) residue in ABL. V804 mutations are present alone or in conjunction with other RET mutations in MEN 2 carriers (~4% of cases) and in sporadic MTC and could therefore cause resistance to ZD6474.

Here, we screened natural RET oncogenic proteins, corresponding to BCR-ABL imatinib resistant mutants, for ZD6474 response in vitro and in intact cells.

Materials and methods

Compound

ZD6474 (Zactima, vandetanib; AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, Macclesfield, UK) was dissolved in dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) at a concentration of 50 mM and
stored at −80 °C. 1000X stock solution was freshly generated for each single experiment and the equivalent amount of vehicle (DMSO) was used as control.

**Cell culture and plasmids**

HEK 293 and HeLa cells were from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM L-glutamine and 100 units/ml penicillin–streptomycin (Gibco). Transient transfections were carried out with the lipofectamine reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Gibco). The alignment between RET and ABL kinase domains was made with the ‘Lalign’ tool available at the ExPASy proteomic tools web site (www.expasy.ch). RET mutations V804M, E805K, Y806C, Y806E, Y806F, Y806S, Y806G, E884K and D898V were generated by site-directed mutagenesis and inserted in the background of an oncogenic RET C634R allele in the pcDNA 3.1 vector (Carlomagno et al. 2004). The presence of mutation was confirmed by double-strand DNA sequencing.

**Protein studies**

Protein lysates were prepared according to standard procedures. Briefly, cells were lysed in a buffer containing 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EGTA, 50 mM NaF, 20 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM sodium vanadate, 2 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride and 1 µg/ml aprotinin. Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 15 min. Lysates containing comparable amounts of proteins, as estimated by a modified Bradford assay (Bio-Rad) were subjected to direct western blot. Immune complexes were detected with the ECL kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). Signal intensity was analysed using a Phosphorimager (Typhoon 8600, Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) interfaced with the ImageQuant software. Polyclonal anti-phospho-Shc, which recognizes phosphorylated Shc at Y317, was from Upstate Biotechnology Inc. (Lake Placid, NY, USA). Polyclonal anti-Shc was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Antibodies to MAPK were from Cell Signaling Technologies (Beverly, MA, USA), and antibodies to phospho p44/42-MAPK (pMAPK), specific for MAPK (ERK1/2) phosphorylated at Thr202/Tyr204, were from Cell Signaling Technologies. Anti-RET is a polyclonal antibody raised against the tyrosine kinase protein fragment of human RET (Santoro et al. 1994). Anti-phospho905 and anti-phospho1062 are phospho-specific polyclonal antibodies recognizing RET proteins phosphorylated at the corresponding sites (Salvatore et al. 2000, Carlomagno et al. 2003). Blots were incubated with primary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature, washed and incubated with the appropriate secondary antibodies (goat anti-rabbit 1:5000) coupled to HRP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Signal intensity was evaluated with the Molecular Imager Gel Doc System interfaced with the Quantity One software (Bio-Rad). Curves of ZD6474 inhibition were plotted to identify IC50 dose for each RET mutant in vivo autophosphorylation.

**In vitro kinase assay**

RET proteins were immunoprecipitated from HEK 293 cells transfected with different mutants. Immuno-complexes were subjected to an in vitro kinase assay by incubation (20 min at room temperature) in kinase buffer containing 200 µM poly-(L-glutamic acid-L-tyrosine [poly-GT]; Sigma Chemical Co.), 2.5 µCi γ32P ATP and unlabelled ATP (20 µM) and the indicated concentrations of the compound (Carlomagno et al. 2002). Samples were spotted on Whatman 3MM paper (Springfield Mill, UK) and 32P incorporation was measured with a β-counter scintillator (Beckman Coulter, Unterschleissheim-Lohhof, Germany).

**Luciferase activity assay**

Approximately 1×10⁶ HeLa cells were transiently co-transfected with RET mutants and the AP1-Luc vector (Stratagene, Garden Grove, CA, USA) containing six AP1-binding sites upstream from the Firefly luciferase cDNA. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were serum-starved and the indicated concentration of ZD6474 or vehicle was added. Cells were harvested 48 h after transfection. Ten ng pRL-null (a plasmid expressing the enzyme Renilla luciferase from Renilla reniformis) served as an internal control. Firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were assayed using the Dual-Luciferase reporter system (Promega Corporation) and expressed as percentage of residual activity compared with cells treated with vehicle.

**Assessment of the structural impact of various Y806 mutations**

The structure of the RET kinase domain in complex with ZD6474 is available at Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics (RCSB) Protein Data Bank (PDB; PDB ID: 2ivu at www.rcsb.org/pdb/home/home.do; Knowles et al. 2006). Tyrosine 806 was
replaced with the different residues and the structural consequences were examined by using the PyMOL Molecular Graphics System (http://www.pymol.org).

Statistical analysis

Kinase activity curves were plotted using the curve-fitting PRIZM software (GraphPad Software). The ANOVA Post Hoc Tukey–Kramer multiple comparison test was used to assess statistical significance of luciferase assay. InStat3 GraphPad Software was used.

Results

Identification of Y806 as a molecular determinant of RET sensitivity to ZD6474 inhibition

We aligned the RET and ABL kinase domains and found that several RET residues, naturally mutated in some MEN 2/MTC patients, correspond to positions in ABL whose mutation causes imatinib resistance (Fig. 1A). RET changes in E768, L790 and A883 residues (corresponding to E279, L301 and R372 in ABL respectively) do not affect RET kinase sensitivity to ZD6474, as previously reported (Carlomagno et al. 2004). Here, we evaluated the effect of E805K, Y806C, E884K and D898V (corresponding to the imatinib-resistant E316D, ABL F317L, E373K and L387M mutants respectively) on RET kinase sensitivity to ZD6474 (Fig. 1A). The E805K mutation was found in tandem with V804M in a patient with a MEN 2B phenotype (Cranston et al. 2006). The adjacent Y806 residue was found mutated to cysteine (Y806C) at the germline level in combination with mutation V804 in a patient with MEN 2B-like clinical presentation (Miyauchi et al. 1999, Iwashita et al. 2000). Notably, phospho-amino acid analysis included Y806 among RET kinase autophosphorylation sites (Kawamoto et al. 2004). Both E805 and Y806 map in the RET catalytic domain to the same loop containing the gate-keeper V804 residue (Knowles et al. 2006). Moreover, the E884K mutation was identified in individual sporadic MTC case (Uchino et al. 1999). Finally, residue D898 has been found deleted in a sporadic MTC patient. The same aspartic acid in KIT kinase was shown to mediate resistance to imatinib when mutated to valine and ABL L387 residue, located in the corresponding position, was mutated in an imatinib-resistant kinase (Oriola et al. 2002).

Because these mutations weakly activate RET kinase (Miyauchi et al. 1999, Iwashita et al. 2000), we introduced them in the background of a

Figure 1 Y806 residue determines RET kinase sensitivity to ZD6474. (A) Alignment of ABL and RET kinase domains. P-Loop (phosphate-binding loop) and A-loop (activation loop) are indicated. Mutations that cause resistance to imatinib in ABL and that correspond to naturally occurring RET mutations are highlighted in grey. For RET, the position of the mutated amino acid is reported. (B) HEK293 cells were transfected with pcDNA 3.1 vectors expressing the indicated RET mutants. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were serum-starved. Two hours before harvest, cells were treated with various ZD6474 doses or vehicle. Cell lysates were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. Each immunoblot is representative of at least three independent experiments.
constitutively active RET allele carrying the MEN 2A-associated extracellular C634R mutation. C634R strongly activates RET by inducing a constitutive dimerization of the receptor through the formation of extracellular disulphide bonds without affecting the natural conformation of RET kinase domain (Santoro & Carlomagno 2006). Intact cells expressing RET mutants were treated with different ZD6474 doses and RET activity was measured by immunoblotting with anti-phospho RET antibodies that recognize the Y905 and Y1062 autophosphorylation sites (Salvatore et al. 2000, Carlomagno et al. 2003). When phosphorylated, Y1062 functions as multi-docking site for intracellular signalling proteins, whereas Y905 resides in the activation loop and its phosphorylation is necessary to maintain the kinase in an active conformation (Hayashi et al. 2000, Iwashita et al. 2000). As shown in Fig. 1B, ZD6474 inhibited RET proteins carrying C634R/E805K (IC50 = 100 nM), C634R/E884K (IC50 = 200 nM) or C634R/D898V (IC50 = 200 nM) tandem substitutions similarly to RET C634R protein (IC50 = 100 nM). By contrast, the RET C634R/Y806C protein was highly resistant to the drug (IC50 > 1000 nM). The ZD6474-resistant RET C634R/V804M mutant served as control (IC50 > 1000 nM; Fig. 1B).

Structure–function analysis of the effect of RET Y806 mutation

We generated RET mutants carrying mutations of Y806 to different amino acids, namely glycine (Y806G), serine (Y806S), glutamic acid (Y806E) and phenylalanine (Y806F), in the background of RET C634R. Mutations Y806G and Y806S knocked down kinase activity (not shown) and were not studied further. The substitution of tyrosine 806 with the non-phosphorylatable phenylalanine (Y806F) did not significantly affect kinase activity. In addition, it did not modify RET sensitivity to ZD6474, which suggested that Y806 phosphorylation was not necessary for ZD6474 binding (Fig. 2A). By contrast, the substitution of tyrosine 806 with the phosphomimetic glutamate (Y806E) greatly reduced RET sensitivity to ZD6474, and thus had an effect comparable with the Y806C change (Fig. 2A).

Figure 2 Effect of alternative RET Y806 substitutions on sensitivity to ZD6474. (A) HEK293 cells were transfected with pcDNA 3.1 vectors expressing the indicated RET mutants. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were serum-starved and treated (2 h) with ZD6474 or vehicle. Cell lysates were analysed by immunoblot with the indicated antibodies. Each immunoblot is representative of at least three independent experiments. (B) HEK293 cells were transfected with RET mutants-expressing vectors. RET proteins were immunoprecipitated and subjected to an in vitro kinase assay on an artificial substrate (poly-Glu–Tyr). Each experiment was performed in triplicate and done at least thrice. Results from kinase assay have been plotted using a curve-fitting software. S.D. are indicated.
Subsequently, we carried out an in vitro kinase assay and measured ZD6474 in vitro IC\textsubscript{50} for the various RET Y806 mutants. As shown in Fig. 2B, ZD6474 IC\textsubscript{50} for the RET C634R/Y806F protein was similar to RET C634R (87 nM vs 100 nM), whereas the IC\textsubscript{50} values for RET C634R/Y806E and RET C634R/Y806C were increased by fivefold (512 nM) and tenfold (933 nM) respectively.

Analysis of ZD6474 activity on intracellular signalling of RET Y806 mutants

RET phosphorylation on Y1062 results in a docking site for Shc and other adaptor proteins that, in turn, serve as anchors for Grb2/Sos and Grb2/Gab complexes thereby mediating RET signals to downstream pathways such as the PI3K/AKT and RAS/MAPK ones (Hayashi et al. 2000). Similar to RET C634R/V804M, phosphorylation of SHC by RET C634R/Y806C and RET C634R/Y806E, as well as MAPK (ERK1/2) activation, showed resistance to ZD6474 (Fig. 3A).

Finally, we tested whether ZD6474 obstructed RET-mediated activation of an AP1-responsive promoter fused to the luciferase reporter. As shown in Fig. 3B, the compound reduced RET C634R activity to less than 50% at 250 nM and completely abolished promoter activation at 1 μM. Instead, ZD6474, even at a concentration of 5 μM, had virtually no effect on RET C634R/V804M-mediated luciferase stimulation. Compared with RET C634R, RET mutants C634R/Y806C and RET C634R/Y806E were also relatively resistant to the effects of ZD6474, although to a lesser extent than RET C634R/V804M.

Discussion

The efficacy of ZD6474 in targeting RET oncogenic mutations in MTC is now being evaluated in phase II clinical trials. Although clinical benefit from ZD6474 is likely to derive from an inhibition of both VEGFR-2 and EGFR kinase activities in addition to RET kinase, it will be necessary to identify RET mutations that respond best to the compound in order to interpret the results of these trials and subsequently to select the most suitable candidates for ZD6474 treatment. Here, we screened natural oncogenic RET kinase mutants for ZD6474 response. The majority of these mutants, although homologous to ABL mutants resistant to imatinib, retain ZD6474 responsiveness. An important
exception is Y806C that, similar to V804M/L (Carlomagno et al. 2004), impairs ZD6474 efficacy. Based on the recently resolved RET kinase X-ray structure (Knowles et al. 2006), tyrosine 806 is located between the kinase N- and C-lobes in the hinge region that forms part of the nucleotide-binding pocket (Fig. 4A). The Y806 side chain stacks against the aliphatic part of the lysine 740 (K740) side chain and the main chain of the adjacent alanine 807 (A807; Fig. 4B). The position equivalent to Y806 in other kinases is usually hydrophobic and aromatic, which might explain why we found that substitution of this residue with glycine or serine dramatically affects kinase function. Y806 is in direct Van der Waals contact with ATP and ZD6474. Conservative substitution of Y806 to phenylalanine (Y806F) preserves the shape and hydrophobicity of this part of the nucleotide pocket. Therefore, this mutated RET is likely to maintain contacts with ZD6474 using Y806, which explains why the Y806F mutation does not affect the sensitivity of RET towards ZD6474. On the other hand, the effects of the Y806C and Y806E substitutions are subtle and unpredictable compared with the V804M/L mutation, which causes a clear steric hindrance (Knowles et al. 2006). Replacement of Y806 by a smaller cysteine will leave a cavity thereby leading to minor structural alterations to the nucleotide-binding pocket, so that the kinase retains the ability to bind and hydrolyse ATP. On the other hand, these changes could affect affinity for ZD6474 by losing direct Van der Waals interactions. Substitution of Y806 with the acidic glutamate side chain introduces a negative charge into the hydrophobic environment of the inhibitor-binding site. This may lead to an interaction with the adjacent accessible K740 side chain and, in turn, perturb the alignment of the hinge region. Differences in the hinge region are indeed seen in RET structures bound to ATP compared with ZD6474 (Knowles et al. 2006). A possible consequence of Y806E would be an altered E805 conformation. The main chain carboxyl of E805 makes direct contact with ZD6474, and loss of this interaction may impact on inhibitor sensitivity. This may also explain why replacing the E805 side chain by lysine (E805K) appears not to affect the actions of ZD6474.

In conclusion, Y806 is involved in RET response to ZD6474. Y806 mutation may be an extremely rare primary mutation causing ZD6474 refractoriness. However, mutations at Y806 and Y806-containing loop including V804, but not at E805, may mediate secondary resistance during the treatment of thyroid cancer patients with ZD6474. This information would be useful in investigating the mechanisms of lack of response to ZD6474 treatment and designing second-line inhibitors to overcome resistance.

Declaration of interest
A J Ryan is a full-time employee of Astra Zeneca. M Santoro received an Astra Zeneca research grant.

Funding
This study was supported by the Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC), the NOGEC (Naples OncoGenomic Center), by grants from Italian Ministero della Salute and Ministero dell’Università e della Ricerca and by
a research grant from Astra Zeneca. T Guida was supported by an AIRC fellowship. S Anaganti was supported by a Terry Fox Foundation fellowship.

Acknowledgements

We thank Jean Ann Gilder for text editing and Ciotola Presentation for the art work.

References


